1

2

3

## 4

## •

5

7

8

v.

10

9

11

12 13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

///

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \*

JAMES E. COHAN,

Plaintiff,

WILLIAM BINYON, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:25-cv-00878-RFB-EJY

**ORDER** 

Honorable Judge Elayna J. Youchah, United States Magistrate Judge, entered on June 30, 2025. A district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct "any review," de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by July 14, 2025. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and with the Magistrate concurs Judge's recommendation. ///

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 4) of the

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 4) is

ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's claims against Defendants in their official capacities for money damages are dismissed with prejudice. **DATED:** July 24, 2025. RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE